Hemp Proficiency Testing

Survey Questions

HM20NOV-1 and HM20NOV-2

Issue Date: December 18, 202

Lab Num	Answer
Q1. Provide an overall ranking of the UK Hemp PT program (enter one of the following: excellent, good, poor, very poor, no opinion)	
Q1. 101	good
Q1. 102	Excellent
Q1. 103	excellent
Q1. 105	Good
Q1. 106	Excellent
Q1. 107	excellent
Q1. 108	good
Q1. 109	Excellent
Q1. 113	Excellent
Q1. 114	excellent
Q1. 115	excellent

Lab Num	Answer
Q1. 116	excellent
Q1. 118	excellent
Q1. 119	excellent
Q1. 120	good
Q1. 121	excellent
Q1. 125	Excellent
Q1. 128	Excellent
Q1. 132	Good
Q1. 137	Excellent
Q1. 139	Good
Q1. 141	good
Q1. 142	excellent
Q1. 144	excellent
Q1. 145	Excellent
Q1. 148	Excellent
Q1. 149	Excellent
Q1. 150	Excellent

Lab Num		Answer
Q1.	152	Excellent
Q1.	153	Excellent
Q1.	154	no opinion
Q1.	155	good
Q1.	161	excellent
Q1.	162	Good
Q1.	164	Excellent
Q1.	165	Excellent
Q1.	168	good
Q1.	169	good
Q1.	170	excellent
Q1.	172	Excellent
Q1.	175	poor
Q1.	181	Good
Q1.	182	excellent
Q1.	183	Good
Q1.	184	EXCELLANT

Lab Num	Answer
Q1. 185	good
Q1. 186	excellent
Q1. 188	good
Q1. 189	Good
Q1. 191	excellent
Q1. 192	good
Q1. 194	Good
Q1. 196	good
Q1. 198	excellent
Q1. 199	excellent
Q1. 201	No opinion
Q1. 202	Good to Excellent
Q1. 203	good
Q1. 204	good
Q1. 207	Good

Lab Num	Answer

Q2. Provide a comment on the UK Hemp PT program.

Q2. 103   Easy to navigate

Q2. 105   The UK Hemp PT program has had a few typos and hiccups but overall has provided high-quality service on a good platform and has met our needs for proficiency testing in this matrix with these analytes.

Q2. 106   Organized and effective

Q2. 107   Sometimes hard to follow at first

Q2. 113   Easy to use

Q2. 114   simple, pretty straight forward to use

Q2. 115   It is clear and concise easy to log in and add results.

Q2. 116   well prepared, provides all necessary information

Q2. 119   excellent

Q2. 120   good

Q2. 121   good program that provides a much needed product

Q2. 125   The website is informative and relatively easy to use.

Q2. 128   A great resource

Q2. 137   Well organized

Q2. 139   Easy to use

Lab Num	Answer
Q2. 142	Very helpful, use to document analyst performance
Q2. 144	good
Q2. 148	It is easy to use and well-laid out.
Q2. 149	Th UK Hemp PT Website is very user-friendly.
Q2. 150	Easy to submit, no issue/problems found
Q2. 152	Awesome-keep going
Q2. 153	The program has alot of reporting option and results are evaluated quickly.
Q2. 154	Easy to use!
Q2. 155	It has improved and is headed in the right direction
Q2. 161	save button should be more obvious
Q2. 164	excellent, organized program
Q2. 168	good
Q2. 169	ok
Q2. 170	excellent
Q2. 172	Very Informative - Easy to Navigate
Q2. 175	the data for the THC/THCA appears to have a large fluctuation. I can't use the samples as a QC.
Q2. 181	Website is easy to navigate, and results are clear

Lab Num		Answer
Q2.	183	The UK Hemp PT program is one of the best in the country. We plan on participating in the future.
Q2.	185	excellent
Q2.	188	somewhat complicated
Q2.	189	User friendly, easy to upload results
Q2.	191	excellent communcation. extremely helpful, have gone above and beyond to ensure samples did not degrade in transit
Q2.	192	The website has all the required information
Q2.	194	Runs smoothly, not the easiest to navigate for results however
Q2.	198	Valuable for method harmonization
Q2.	199	very reasonable layout; anticipate revisions as more analytes are added
Q2.	202	No comment
Q2.	203	Great verification and compilation of results
Q2.	204	excellent
Q2.	207	Very helpful for understanding the scope.

Q3. Rate the UK Hemp PT website at www.rs.uky.edu/regulatory/hpt/ (enter one of the following: excellent, good, poor, very poor, no opinion)

Q3.	101	good
Q3.	103	excellent

Lab Num	Answer
Q3. 105	Excellent
Q3. 106	No opinion
Q3. 107	good
Q3. 108	good
Q3. 109	Good
Q3. 113	Excellent
Q3. 114	good
Q3. 115	good
Q3. 116	good
Q3. 119	good
Q3. 120	good
Q3. 121	excellent
Q3. 125	Good
Q3. 128	Excellent
Q3. 132	Excellent
Q3. 137	Excellent
Q3. 139	good

	Lab Num	Answer
Q3.	141	good
Q3.	142	good
Q3.	144	good
Q3.	145	Good
Q3.	148	Good
Q3.	149	Excellent
Q3.	150	Good
Q3.	152	good
Q3.	153	Excellent
Q3.	154	no opinion
Q3.	155	good
Q3.	161	good
Q3.	162	Good
Q3.	164	Excellent
Q3.	165	Good
Q3.	168	good
Q3.	169	good

Lab Num		Answer
Q3.	170	excellent
Q3.	172	Excellent
Q3.	175	no opinion
Q3.	181	Good
Q3.	182	good
Q3.	183	Good
Q3.	184	EXCELLANT
Q3.	185	good
Q3.	186	excellent
Q3.	188	good but needs to be more intuitive
Q3.	189	Good
Q3.	191	excellent
Q3.	192	good
Q3.	194	Good
Q3.	196	good
Q3.	198	excellent
Q3.	199	excellent

Lab Num		Answer
Q3.	201	Good
Q3.	202	Good to Excellent
Q3.	203	good
Q3.	204	excellent
Q3.	207	Excellent

Q4. Provide a comment on the UK Hemp PT website.

Q4.	103	No issues or questions
Q4.	105	The information is sufficient and easily navigable.
Q4.	106	No opinion
Q4.	107	Once used to it, it is highly useful
Q4.	108	basic website but functional. it would be nice to be able to upload both results at once but this works
Q4.	113	Easy to use
Q4.	114	straightfoward
Q4.	115	website is good
Q4.	116	same as in Q02
Q4.	119	good

Lab Num	Answer
Q4. 120	no opinion
Q4. 121	good information and well structured
Q4. 125	The website is informative and relatively easy to use.
Q4. 128	Very user friendly
Q4. 139	search and get information easily
Q4. 144	good
Q4. 148	It looks good.
Q4. 149	I find the website very informative.
Q4. 150	good, maybe even more distilled data as a summary would be useful.
Q4. 152	hard to navigate
Q4. 153	The website is user friendly and easy to navigate
Q4. 154	Easy to use!
Q4. 155	It appears to be laid out well, but I have never been there
Q4. 161	overall easy to use
Q4. 162	Navigating the website is not intuitive so it takes time to get use to navigating where to go.
Q4. 164	easy to find information I need
Q4. 170	excellent

Lab Num		Answer
Q4.	172	Excellent
Q4.	175	Only used to review/upload results
Q4.	181	Good clear website
Q4.	183	The website is easy to navigate and informative.
Q4.	185	Keep it simple
Q4.	188	same question
Q4.	189	User friendly, easy to upload results
Q4.	191	easy to navigate
Q4.	192	it would be much easier if we can save the results before submitting it.
Q4.	194	Runs smoothly, not the easiest to navigate for results however
Q4.	198	Valuable proficiency testing program
Q4.	199	good layout and logical flow
Q4.	201	User friendly and easy to manuever
Q4.	202	No Comment
Q4.	203	Pretty easy to navigate. Could use a little more clear directions.
Q4.	204	excellent
Q4.	207	I believe this is a duplicate question.

Lab Num	Answer

Q5. Rate the Lab Portal website developed this year to enter data and store individual lab reports (enter one of the following: excellent, good, poor, very poor, no opinion)

Q5. 101   good

Q5. 102   Excellent

Q5. 103   excellent

Q5. 105   Excellent

Q5. 106   Excellent

Q5. 107   excellent

Q5. 108   good

Q5. 109   Good

Q5. 113   Excellent

Q5. 114   excellent

Q5. 115   great excellent

Q5. 116   excellent

Q5. 118   a bit dated but simple

Q5. 119   good

Q5. 120   good

Lab Num	Answer
Q5. 121	excellent
Q5. 125	Good
Q5. 128	Good
Q5. 132	Excellent
Q5. 137	Excellent
Q5. 139	excellent
Q5. 141	excellent
Q5. 142	excellent
Q5. 144	excellent
Q5. 145	Excellent
Q5. 148	Excellent
Q5. 149	Excellent
Q5. 150	Excellent
Q5. 152	Good
Q5. 153	Excellent
Q5. 154	Excellent
Q5. 155	excellent

Lab Num		Answer
Q5.	161	excellent
Q5.	162	Poor
Q5.	164	Excellent
Q5.	165	Excellent
Q5.	168	good
Q5.	169	good
Q5.	170	excellent
Q5.	172	Excellent
Q5.	175	good
Q5.	181	Good
Q5.	182	good
Q5.	183	Good
Q5.	184	EXCELLANT
Q5.	185	Remove LOQ and LOD instructions
Q5.	186	excellent
Q5.	188	good
Q5.	189	Good

Lab Num	Answer
Q5. 191	good
Q5. 192	good
Q5. 194	Good
Q5. 196	good
Q5. 198	good
Q5. 199	excellent
Q5. 201	Good
Q5. 202	Excellent
Q5. 203	Good
Q5. 204	excellent
Q5. 207	Excellent

Q6. Provide a comment on the Lab Portal.

Q6. 101	It is a little difficult to set up, but once started it is on of the best I've seen
Q6. 103	well-organized
Q6. 105	It is helpful that there is an option to upload results using a csv file.
Q6. 106	Easy to use

Lab Num		Answer
Q6.	107	The lab portal is an excellent tool.
Q6.	108	its a bit weird to see the anonymous results of all the labs. Would be nice if the client portal only showed the report of the clients.
Q6.	113	Makes submission simple and easy
Q6.	114	easy to use
Q6.	115	easy to put in results
Q6.	116	in Laboratory Resources/Methodology consider adding the numbers of the official AOAC method for testing cannabinoids
Q6.	119	I hope the data entry can be made a little easier.
Q6.	120	It is straight forward. I'd like to see it not kick me out, loosin my data when I enter too many digfitis and fail to hit OK but hit return instead.
Q6.	121	easy to use and navigate
Q6.	125	The lab portal is relatively easy to use and seems well organized.
Q6.	128	It was difficult last round to access the final reports.
Q6.	137	Clear and easy to use
Q6.	139	Easy to upload results and check old data
Q6.	142	CSV upload makes data entry quick and efficient
Q6.	144	It is easy to enter data once the template is saved.
Q6.	148	It is very clear and easy to use.
Q6.	150	No issues seen and was easy to use

Lab Num		Answer
Q6.	152	Easier than last year
Q6.	153	Portal is user friendly and easy to navigate.
Q6.	154	I personally didnt use it last year but it seems easy to use now
Q6.	155	It works exactly like one thinks it should
Q6.	161	weel designed
Q6.	162	Finding where to enter data was difficult. More explanation is needed to submit data as a csv file for the lab portal.
Q6.	164	wonderful upgrade to your program, well done
Q6.	170	excellent
Q6.	172	Excellent
Q6.	175	It works. Doesn't need to be complicated.
Q6.	181	This is my first time using the portal. Everything seems to work
Q6.	183	After a few hiccups, the result entry is straight foward.
Q6.	185	The URL is impossible to remember
Q6.	186	would be nice to be able to paste all results in at once using excel
Q6.	188	good
Q6.	189	Easy to use
Q6.	191	easy to follow. would be great if we were able to expand on our method description and why we are classified as 'other'

Lab Num		Answer
Q6.	192	Its a user friendly portal
Q6.	194	Considering the scope, not bad, but kind of clunky
Q6.	198	Lab portal data entry is simple
Q6.	199	easy to use
Q6.	201	User friendly and easy to manuever
Q6.	202	Portal was easy to use.
Q6.	203	Method codes are funky but overall good otherwise
Q6.	204	excellent
Q6.	207	Relatively easy to navigate and the tutorial is informative.

Q7. Rate the reports that are generated in the program (enter one of the following: excellent, good, poor, very poor, no opinion)

Q7.	101	excellent
Q7.	102	Excellent
Q7.	103	excellent
Q7.	105	Excellent
Q7.	106	Excellent
Q7.	107	excellent

Lab Num	Answer
Q7. 108	poor
Q7. 109	Excellent
Q7. 113	Excellent
Q7. 114	excellent
Q7. 115	good
Q7. 116	excellent
Q7. 118	excellent
Q7. 119	excellent
Q7. 120	excellant
Q7. 121	excellent
Q7. 125	Good
Q7. 128	Excellent
Q7. 132	Good
Q7. 137	Excellent
Q7. 139	good
Q7. 141	good
Q7. 142	good

Lab Num	Answer
Q7. 144	excellent
Q7. 145	Good
Q7. 148	Good
Q7. 149	Excellent
Q7. 150	Excellent
Q7. 152	good
Q7. 153	Excellent
Q7. 154	excellent
Q7. 155	excellent
Q7. 161	excellent
Q7. 162	Good
Q7. 164	Good
Q7. 165	Excellent
Q7. 168	good
Q7. 169	no opinion
Q7. 170	excellent
Q7. 172	Excellent

Lab Num		Answer
Q7.	175	good
Q7.	181	no opinion
Q7.	182	good,
Q7.	183	Good
Q7.	184	EXCELLANT
Q7.	185	no opinion
Q7.	186	ecellent
Q7.	188	good
Q7.	189	Excellent
Q7.	191	excellent
Q7.	192	Excellent
Q7.	194	Poor
Q7.	196	good
Q7.	198	excellent
Q7.	199	excellent
Q7.	201	Good
Q7.	202	No Opinion

Lab Num		Answer
Q7.	203	good
Q7.	204	excellent
Q7.	207	No Opinion

Q8. Provide a comment on the reports generated in the program.

Q8.	103	straightforward, easy to enter results
Q8.	105	There are a lot of reports, but they seem to cover and clearly convey all needed information.
Q8.	106	Easy to read and informative
Q8.	107	The reports have an excellent readability.
Q8.	108	It would be useful if a simple pass or fail was issues. Also summarize the report. instead of receiving a trueness and precision report for each sample and then interpretation instructions on a separate PDF for all of these to be one document. Provide an assigned value and acceptable range in terms of concentration and not
Q8.	113	They fit my needs perfectly
Q8.	114	interpreting reports pdf helpful in understanding results
Q8.	116	reports provide all information, easy to find the certain information
Q8.	119	excellent
Q8.	120	You provide good background to allow user to understand results. I have more to learn on usefulness of the HorRat data work up for our purposes.
Q8.	121	accurate and easy to understand
Q8.	125	No comment

Lab Num		Answer
Q8.	128	It's interesting to see how different methods compare.
Q8.	139	It is better to provide more detailed explanation on statistical parameters
Q8.	144	I like the detail in the statistics
Q8.	148	I think the reports could be integrated better to provide all of the information (mean, std. dev., and # of participants) in one report.
Q8.	150	Concise, good explanation pages and statistics are useful
Q8.	152	Need better explanation on how to read-decipher reports
Q8.	153	Many reports are generated, data can be reviewed many ways which can be helpful to struggling labs or methods.
Q8.	155	I don't like that the lab numbers (IDs) are on the report. If one lab is able to figure out another lab's ID, this could turn into a marketing tool.
Q8.	161	Provides all necessary information
Q8.	164	some of the statistical results could be explained better
Q8.	170	excellent
Q8.	172	Easy to Understand the Reports
Q8.	175	Prefer to see a range along with the z-score
Q8.	181	I am a first time user and have not seen a report generated
Q8.	183	The reports are informative and helps the lab understand where they compare to other laboratories.
Q8.	185	For the amount data shown, the report is decent
Q8.	188	simple and to the point...great

Lab Num		Answer
Q8.	191	easy to follow, stats explained well
Q8.	192	The report is a detailed one
Q8.	194	Difficult to understand
Q8.	198	Reports are comprehensive
Q8.	199	comprehensive
Q8.	202	No Comment
Q8.	203	More needs to be explained by the reports as different tools are used by different scientists
Q8.	204	excellent
Q8.	207	I have not seen these reports, first time submitting.

Q9. Rate the response you receive when you have a question regarding the program (enter one of the following: excellent, good, poor, very poor, no opinion)

Q9.	101	good
Q9.	103	excellent
Q9.	105	Excellent
Q9.	106	Excellent
Q9.	107	excellent
Q9.	108	no opinion.

	Lab Num	Answer
Q9.	109	Good
Q9.	113	Excellent
Q9.	114	no opinion
Q9.	115	excellent
Q9.	116	excellent
Q9.	119	excellent
Q9.	120	excellant
Q9.	121	excellent
Q9.	125	Excellent
Q9.	128	Excellent
Q9.	132	Excellent
Q9.	137	Excellent
Q9.	139	Good
Q9.	141	good
Q9.	142	no opinion
Q9.	144	no opinion
Q9.	145	Excellent

	Lab Num	Answer
Q9.	148	Excellent
Q9.	149	Excellent
Q9.	150	Excellent
Q9.	152	excellent
Q9.	153	Excellent
Q9.	154	excellent
Q9.	155	no opinion
Q9.	161	excellent
Q9.	162	Excellent
Q9.	164	no opinion
Q9.	165	Excellent
Q9.	168	excellent
Q9.	169	fair
Q9.	170	excellent
Q9.	172	Excellent
Q9.	175	no opinion
Q9.	181	Excellent

Lab Num		Answer
Q9.	182	no opinion
Q9.	183	Good
Q9.	184	EXCELLANT
Q9.	185	Excellent
Q9.	188	no opinion
Q9.	189	Excellent
Q9.	191	excellent
Q9.	192	Excellent
Q9.	194	No Opinion
Q9.	196	no opinion
Q9.	198	excellent
Q9.	199	excellent
Q9.	201	Excellent
Q9.	202	Excellent
Q9.	203	good
Q9.	204	excellent
Q9.	207	Excellent

Lab Num	Answer

Q10. Provide a comment on the responses received when a question arises.

Q10. 103   no problems

Q10. 105   Prompt, informative, professional

Q10. 106   Easy to set up lab portal login

Q10. 107   All responses are helpful and sent quickly.

Q10. 113   Prompt and effeicient.

Q10. 115   Coordinators are very pleasant and answers questions right away

Q10. 116   Responses received immediately

Q10. 119   The program is very easy to work with.

Q10. 120   You hare very responsive; which is greatly appreciated.

Q10. 121   quick responses and always helpful

Q10. 125   Any questions are followed up on in a timely manner.

Q10. 137   Marilyn has been very responsive and organized

Q10. 139   Get the reply quickly

Q10. 142   no opinion

Q10. 144   no opinion

Lab Num	Answer
Q10. 148	Everybody that I have ever talked to in regards to the program has been very helpful and knowledgeable.
Q10. 150	Responses were typically only a few hours
Q10. 152	quick replies
Q10. 153	Receive quick and thorough responses, always.
Q10. 154	quick response
Q10. 155	I have had no questions. The program is very straight forward.
Q10. 161	alway helpful
Q10. 162	Response time was fast and they were great about clarifying any issues that arise.
Q10. 170	excellent
Q10. 172	Very rapid and appropriate responses
Q10. 181	Marilyn is amazing!
Q10. 183	Responses were given in timely fashion.
Q10. 185	Excellent
Q10. 188	no opinion
Q10. 191	thorough responses, assisted us when we were had sample issues. helped invetigate the problem which was extremely helpful to a lab in the method developement stage. everyone is personable and has gone above and beyond to provide excellent customer service.
Q10. 192	Always reply back within 24 hrs
Q10. 194	No opinion

Lab Num	Answer
Q10. 198	Response to questions was rapid
Q10. 199	handled with professionalism
Q10. 201	Quick to respond and solve user based issues
Q10. 202	No Comment
Q10. 203	Questions were answered
Q10. 204	excellent
Q10. 207	All questions have been immediately answered.

Q11. For an extra fee, we may be able to generate individual lab reports that contains data from your lab obtained from multiple instruments or analysts for the same test. Please comment on whether this would be of interest to your lab.

Q11. 101	unsure I would need more information
Q11. 105	Not of interest to our lab
Q11. 106	No
Q11. 107	Yes
Q11. 108	Not interested
Q11. 109	This would be interesting
Q11. 113	No
Q11. 114	no

Lab Num	Answer
Q11. 115	not interested
Q11. 116	no interest now
Q11. 119	It does not really matter.
Q11. 120	Dependent on cost; this would be helpful.
Q11. 121	this would be something our lab would be interested in
Q11. 125	This is not an interest for our lab.
Q11. 128	Not at this time
Q11. 132	Interested
Q11. 137	Yes
Q11. 139	sounds good
Q11. 142	no
Q11. 144	Not at this time
Q11. 145	Potentially interested. Please follow up with further information
Q11. 148	This could be useful to us eventually, but likely not very soon.
Q11. 149	Possibly, need more info.
Q11. 150	Maybe, would consider in the future, but time/resource constraints internally might limit participation
Q11. 152	maybe

Lab Num	Answer
Q11. 153	Not at this time
Q11. 154	Would be useful for us if we move to accreditation next year.
Q11. 155	This is something that any respectable lab would be measuring and recording on its own. That being said, if a lab wanted very clear documentation to the fact, this could be valuable.
Q11. 161	Yes, we would be interested
Q11. 162	No
Q11. 164	no thank you
Q11. 165	Depending on the fee, this would be an interest to our laboratory.
Q11. 168	at this time, we would not be interested - maybe at some time we would
Q11. 169	no
Q11. 170	not necessary
Q11. 172	Yes - would be interested
Q11. 175	no
Q11. 181	This would be useful for anyone trying to validate an instrument/person. But my group would not be interested.
Q11. 182	No
Q11. 183	Yes, we would be interested, but it would depend on the cost.
Q11. 184	NOT AT THIS TIME
Q11. 185	Absolutely

Lab Num	Answer
Q11. 186	not interested
Q11. 188	Should be included with current price
Q11. 189	No
Q11. 191	potentially...
Q11. 192	It would definitely be helpful
Q11. 194	No
Q11. 196	yes
Q11. 198	no
Q11. 199	beyond our current needs
Q11. 201	No interest
Q11. 202	We would love the ability to evaluate individual analysts.
Q11. 203	Maybe at a future date but not currently
Q11. 204	no
Q11. 207	no.

Lab Num	Answer

Q12. Please provide comments on any other improvements you would like to see occur with the UK Hemp PT program.

Q12. 105   1.We saw a trend that the moisture content of each sample increased over time. It might be related to the samples being in a bag with a zip closure instead of a jar or a more airtight container. 2. An idea is to add a version number or date associated with the method code list. That way we know if we should re-check the list or use our same codes from last time. For example, we are currently reporting several methods as "other," so we would need to review the list if any additional codes are added to see if our methods match the newly-added codes.

Q12. 106   No opinion

Q12. 107   Just better readability on the website primarily.

Q12. 108   Only allow the selection one method code and not have a method code for each analyte. Its assumed a lab will use one method for all analytes. This will simplify reporting. Also it would be helpful to send out the reports in an email to the labs instead of logging into a portal. Accreditation bodies also like to see an issuance of pass or fail which these PTs do not have that. Also four different samples requiring triplicate runs seems a little excesses. Make the Total THC concentrations closer or at the legal limit of 0.3% which is the range of accuracy which is most important. Small instrument results such as those with some analytes suffer from poor accuracy and precision.

Q12. 115   good job !

Q12. 116   very well managed program

Lab Num	Answer
Q12. 119	I hope the Reporting template can be made a little bit easier to use.
Q12. 120	Time frame between PTs is such that if you are having to resolve an issue, you have a short window before next PT arrives for analysis.
Q12. 125	No comment
Q12. 128	Thank you
Q12. 139	no
Q12. 142	expand to include pesticides
Q12. 144	More sample so we can run a moisture test on the samples. We do not have enough sample to run it three times.

Lab Num	Answer
Q12. 148	I'm quite happy with this program.
Q12. 150	None on the program itself, but perhaps more marketing around it to highlight it as a highly regarded Proficiency Program
Q12. 152	better explanations on U and how to read the report
Q12. 155	It would be great to see two additional sample exchanges in the year. Specifically, having exchanges during the summer months when the labs are receiving customer samples in higher volumes.
Q12. 161	space out the two Pt runs more, beginning of year and end of year
Q12. 165	ISO accreditation would be a great improvement. I think the program is off to a very good start. Thank you for providing this service.
Q12. 170	none

Lab Num	Answer
Q12. 181	List Lateral Flow/ELISA as methods that are listed
Q12. 183	Knowing that there is a significant figure limit in the .csv file would've been helpful. I look forward towards next years PT rounds.
Q12. 184	WISH IT SAID THAT THE THCA RESULT SHOULD BE ADJUSTED BY MW
Q12. 185	Bring it back next year
Q12. 188	add Terpenes on a QUALATATIVE basis (absence or presence)
Q12. 191	n/a - happy with the UK Hemp PT program to date
Q12. 192	if there is a way we can enter MU of the analytes

Lab Num	Answer
Q12. 194	No comment
Q12. 199	I would like to see more supporting details related to the tests and the stability of the samples/extracts.
Q12. 203	None
Q12. 204	I would like to see more products: crude, isolate, etc.
Q12. 207	The data entry screen reorganizes when you move from one sample to another, keeping the order would be helpful.